



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_46044
Title of the Manuscript:	Fatty Acid Methyl Ester analysis of some oil plants found in Bihar, India: A Comparative study.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The whole manuscript should be sent for English editing.</p> <p>Abstract: line 10: FAME should be written in full the first time and deleted in line 14.</p> <p>Jetropha, Mahua should be italicized and written as <i>Jetropha, mahua</i></p> <p>Line 14: Palmitic, Linoleic should start with small letters.</p> <p>Line 101: Materials and Methods should be boldened and sent to the next page.</p> <p>Lines 104 – 106 can be deleted.</p> <p>Line 133: PERKINELMER USA should be unboldened.</p> <p>The detailed conditions of the GC-MS analysis should be stated in the method and how the various compounds were characterized or identified explained.</p> <p>How the fatty acid methyl ester were deritvatized should be explained in the methods.</p> <p>Lines 166 to 168 should be removed from the results and sent to the method.</p> <p>The headings in the manuscript should be numbered.</p>	<p>.edited</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Done</p> <p>Deleted</p> <p>Unboldened</p> <p>Corrected as per reviewers comment.</p> <p>Corrected as per reviewers comment</p> <p>Corrected as per reviewers comment</p> <p>Corrected as per reviewers comment</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	