



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_46785
Title of the Manuscript:	Role of Azolla Pinnata Biofertilizer Extract In producing Healthy Tomatoes.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The design is not called Complete Randomized Block design but: Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD). What was the software you used to analyse your data?.	Yes. It was just a writing mistake (Thanks for meticulous revision), Anova is used for data analysis, but we design our research to select Tomatoes that will show a strong vegetative growth when treated with Azolla Biofertilizer extract which was clearly observed from the measurement results, so no need to use Anova.
Minor REVISION comments	Please, Table 1 and 2 did not have any LSD values attached. Adhere to the referencing style of the journal consistently.	Our main target was to study the effect of Azolla pinnata Biofertilizer extract in producing healthy Tomatoes, this should done after selecting tomatoes that will show a strong vegetative growth which was so clear from the results, so no need for further statistics, but we use LCD in Table 3 as it represents the goal of the research. If a statistical measurement is highly required by Respected Reviewer, we can do it. For the References, We review all references but don't know if there is any abnormality. Please advise with an example.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues in this manuscript. We confirm that all works are new and unique and done by ourselves.



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>