



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRB_46785</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of Azolla Pinnata Biofertilizer Extract In producing Healthy Tomatoes.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper.</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The manuscript submitted has been prepared according to the instruction for authors of the journal and checked for all possible mistakes and typographical errors. The manuscript is relevant to the theme of the journal and a contribution to knowledge, <b>but very poor standard of English</b> , however the methodology of the study research and tables were appropriate, adequate key words, well discussed and concluded. The reference list were adequate complete in both text and list.	Don't know what exactly should we write here, as we did our best to design and perform totally unique research, we put an honest effort to have our research informative, everything was done by ourselves, Will try to improve our Language in the upcoming researches.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	All highlights in red should be removed, yellow added and green requires restructuring and better English. Generally the English was very poor throughout the manuscript.	We agree with your point of view for words in red color except for that appeared in line number (19) (plants <b>of</b> control <b>and</b> plants..., Simply because if we remove these words, we will lose the whole structure of the experiment as we need two Tomatoes groups to compare, the first is the control group while the second is that group which showed a strong vegetative growth. Anyhow, we change the sentence to be clearer. <b>For the green color, we already restructuring it, hopefully, it will be good now.</b>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The author(s) have done well in the research and the paper should be published after the correction and command of English.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues in this manuscript. We confirm that all works are new and unique and done by ourselves.