



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46069
Title of the Manuscript:	Secure Sharing of Patient Health Record (PHR) in Jelastic Cloud
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good work.</p> <p>Some of the references are not well formatted. The author(s) should re-work and reformat them</p>	All the references are reformatted now.
Minor REVISION comments	The author to clarify the submission that the developed work is secured through Jelastic cloud or by a mechanism built into the system?.	This system is secured through jelastic cloud
Optional/General comments	<p>It's a very good work</p>	Thank a lot for your appreciation

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No