



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46069
Title of the Manuscript:	Secure Sharing of Patient Health Record (PHR) in Jelastic Cloud
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The work is just regarding creating a Front end website and connecting that website in the database in the cloud and just addition of electronic health records in the system. 2. The work is just basic and even more advanced patient healthcare data management systems are there in the market which are even more advanced. 3. Language of the paper is not upto mark and cannot be considered. 4. The paper is almost incomplete in itself and doesn't justify the technical details of the system proposed. 5. The screenshots and presentations requires more technical feasibility. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Both front end and backend has been developed. As backend contains huge amount of code that's why those code are not presented. 2. All screenshots are reviewed, but as it is already black coloured in the system that's why it seems less clear. 3. All technical detail of source code will make the paper complex. That's why it is not added here. 4. Some screen shots are redone and others as previous.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Yes, ethical issues are there in manuscript.</p>	