



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46069
Title of the Manuscript:	Secure Sharing of Patient Health Record (PHR) in Jelastic Cloud
NewTitle:	Sharing of Patient Health Record (PHR) in Jelastic Cloud in a Secured Manner
Type of Article:	Original Research Article

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
<p>The Research Paper is Recommended for following Serious Major Revisions as mentioned follows,</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Literature review is not presented well, it is recommended to re-draft the Literature review, by clearly mentioned every paper used as base in 5-6 lines and min 10-15 papers Literature review is required to be mentioned clearly in this paper. All the Diagrams are not in High Quality, it requires serious improvements. Add some FLOWCHART which clearly defines the working of the proposed systems. And make it in color. Highlight some points, which mention the advantages and objectives of the proposed system. References should be min 25+, and add some latest references Future scope is missing in this paper. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> I added up all the literature review as your recommendation. Now there are 10 related paper work with their short description within 5/6 lines. Some diagrams are screen shot of developed website and some are a whole computer screen size screen shot. That's why it becoming less clear when the ratio is less. Now the flowchart is in colour. Important points like advantages(in conclusion) and objectives of the proposed system (in introduction) are highlighted in revised version 2. References are now corrected (now 25+). Future scopes are added after conclusion.