



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_46569
Title of the Manuscript:	Two algorithms to determine the number pi (π)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Table 1 and Table 2 is a copy and paste. How can a table be in a picture format. Figure 2 and Figure 3 should not be figure; MS equation should be used to represent those figures. In addition, the MS equation should be used to represent the developed algorithms.</p> <p>More recent references are needed to support this work.</p>	<p>Thank you for your comments. The indicated tables/figures has been retyped. The presentation uses two methods: Dorrie and Snell, they are cited properly. The paper uses mathematical tools as Taylor series, Richardson extrapolation to increase the accuracy of the presented (two these methods)/</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	