



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_47694
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF AGRICULTURAL PRACTICES IN MAIZE CROPS (Zea mays) BASED ON ELEMENTAL PROFILE AND CHEMOMETRICS ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	While I appreciate the care with which it was carried out, I have several limitations. Especially, in case of PCA presentation and its interpretation via data processing may be revised. The points of scientific and technological advance may be further improved. The form and quality of presentation may be reorganized and improved.	We agree with reviewer. In yellow we included more comments about PCA in Introduction and Results. In Conclusion, an additional comment was included. Finally titles in Results were changed .

PART 2:

	<u>Reviewer's comment</u>	<u>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</u>
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	