



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCS_48425</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effects of Organic-based Fortified Foliar Fertilizer on the Growth and Yield of 'Lakatan' Banana (Musa acuminata)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**Lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Line 124 & 132: Write these equations using the option in Microsoft word: click Insert on the tool bar, go to the right hand corner and you will find equation. Here you can write a good equation Table 1: looking at your results, it suggest two things to e (1) that the height did not increase overtime (35 to 45 days), if so then the value at the 45 <sup>th</sup> day should be zero and not the previous value – meaning no increment OR (2) that the plant height increased between 35 – 45 days at the same rate. Which is correct? Line 254: can you explain how you obtained the Gross Income and the Net income?	Comments were strictly followed.  There were still height increments but there were no differences among treatments statistically.  Well taken.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Line 32-36: consider the paraphrasing I have done. Line 41-443 should be moved just after line 36. You should have a complete thought and express your article chronologically! Line 76: used Line 80: paraphrase Line 95 & 98: paraphrasing  Line 135: what statistical package did you use.	Comments were strictly followed.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I find this work very relevant for improving the production Lakana banana in the Philippines. The objectives were well thought and carried out. The researcher also used very standard scientific approach in the execution of this study. We learned that in order to optimise the production of lakanta banana in the Philippines, RR of NPK+ OFF should be use.	Thank you for appreciating the work.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	