



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Crop Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCS_49567
Title of the Manuscript:	An Alternative Low Cost Multiplication Technique: Natural Substances for Regenerating Plantlets from Mini tubers of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i>, L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Separate Results and Discussion 2. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file.	I agree with reviewer's suggestions 1. Results and Discussion : Results have been separated from Discussion 2. Other corrections have been revised in the enclosed manuscript
Minor REVISION comments	1. Separate Results and Discussion 2. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file.	I agree with reviewer's suggestions 3. Results and Discussion : Results have been separated from Discussion 1. Other corrections have been revised in the enclosed manuscript
Optional/General comments	The manuscript entitled, "An Alternative Low Cost Multiplication Technique: Natural Substances for Regenerating Plantlets from Mini tubers of Sweet Potato (<i>Ipomoea batatas</i> , L.)" is an important piece of work describing An Alternative Low Cost Multiplication Technique in Sweet Potato. This type of work is new in this crop. Importantly the authors have done excellent efforts but needing following revision before publication. I approve of it for publication after minor revision as below. 1. Separate Results and Discussion 2. Other corrections should be revised as per enclosed manuscript file.	1. Results and Discussion : Results have been separated from Discussion 2. Other corrections have been revised in the enclosed manuscript

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	