



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_47697
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ETHNOMEDICINAL SURVEY OF PLANTS USED FOR THE TREATMENT/MANAGEMENT OF DIABETES IN BURUKU LOCAL GOVERNMENT OF BENUE STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Table 1a and 1b should be combined into 1 table otherwise readers might get confused. You mentioned 28 plants but showed only 22. How about are the other 6? You should discuss why some plants were mentioned frequently and why were not. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Noted and corrected. I said Twenty eight plants were mentioned as being used for treatment of Diabetes mellitus in Buruku Local Government of Benue State by the herbalists but out of these total I was able to collect twenty two (22) plant species out of the twenty eight mentioned. Noted and discussed
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	