



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_47711
Title of the Manuscript:	MICROSCOPIC ILLUSTRATION OF PELARGONIUM HORTORUM
Type of the Article	Research article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper includes microscopic analysis of a single species (<i>Pelargonium hortorum</i> L.H. Bailey). Dried and powdered organs of the plant were examined under a microscope. The introduction, result and discussion and conclusion parts of the study are very weak. The anatomical and morphological studies related to the species investigated were not mentioned into introduction. In addition; studies that have done with the same species or similar species are not included in the discussion section.</p> <p>Rereferences are not enough. Figures of anatomical section (root, leave and stem) aren't good quality. there are errors in the designation of cells and tissues (eg. Endodermis)</p>	Corrected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Necessary correction is shown on the manuscript.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Unfortunately, your manuscript "MICROSCOPIC ILLUSTRATION OF PELARGONIUM HORTORUM" couldn't have accepted to publish for have very poor content and scientific mistake.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>