



SDI EDITORIAL COMMENTS FORM

EDITORIAL COMMENT'S on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to editor's comments
<p>I will point out a few:</p> <p>Lines 135, 136. The authors should use one format for micro-organisms so as not to confuse readers.</p> <p>Lines 147, 222, 224, 226, 228, 286, 288. The authors seem to struggle with the spelling of auxiliary. This needs to be corrected before acceptance for publication.</p> <p>Line 153. Use °C without spaces.</p> <p>Line 163. Use italics and two words for <i>in vitro</i>.</p> <p>Line 168. Did the authors mean 'plant growth regulators'?</p> <p>Line 177. Use 'within'</p> <p>Lines 178, 179, 304. Delete spaces.</p> <p>Line 255. Did the authors mean 'sterilants'?</p> <p>Line 378. Did the authors mean 'sterilizing'?</p>	<p>Authors' response to editor's comments</p> <p>1) Lines 135, 136. The authors should use one format for micro-organisms so as not to confuse readers. As per Editorial comments the lines 135, 136 have been changed.</p> <p>2) Lines 147, 222, 224, 226, 228, 286, 288. The authors seem to struggle with the spelling of auxiliary. This needs to be corrected before acceptance for publication. As per Editorial comments the lines 147, 222, 224, 226, 228, 286, 288 have been changed.</p> <p>3) Line 153. Use °C without spaces. As per Editorial comments line 153 has been changed.</p> <p>4) Line 163. Use italics and two words for <i>in vitro</i>. As per Editorial comments line 163 has been changed.</p> <p>5) Line 168. Did the authors mean 'plant growth regulators'? As per Editorial comments line 168 has been changed.</p> <p>6) Line 177. Use 'within' As per Editorial comments line 177 has been changed.</p> <p>7) Lines 178, 179, 304. Delete spaces. As per Editorial comments lines 178, 179, 304 have been changed.</p> <p>8) Line 255. Did the authors mean 'sterilants'? As per Editorial comments line 255 has been changed.</p> <p>9) Line 378. Did the authors mean 'sterilizing'? As per Editorial comments line 378 has been changed.</p>