



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIB_49132</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The morphology of some allergenic pollens of the Leguminosae Family in Kermanshah province (West of Iran)</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The work must be reviewed and corrected to be considered for publication, including changes in form and substance.</p> <p>Authors must correct different writing errors that the paper has (see attached file).</p> <p>The allergenicity characteristic of the selected species seems to be disconnected with the description of the pollen morphology. I suggest that the authors explain the connection between allergenicity and why they selected these species for a palynological study (they pretend to help with their identification or to explore pollen characters and their air mobility, etc.)</p>	The manuscript has been corrected considering all comments
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The summary should include the objectives set with the work</p> <p>It is not correct grammatically to start an idea with a number of a reference or an abbreviation, they must put the name of the author or another word and then give the reference number. Example: "Many studies in Ethiopia have pointed out that excluding of human and animal interferences from the degraded hillside areas can contribute to advance rehabilitation of degraded lands and socio-economic benefits to the local communities [7,9-13]."</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	After having these modifications in a new version, the paper can be evaluated in greater detail for possible publication.	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	