



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJRIMPS_45797  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | LONG-TERM CONSUMPTION OF KOLA-NUT (COLA NITIDA) DIET DECREASED ANXIETY RELATED BEHAVIOUR IN MICE. |
| Type of the Article      | Original research paper   |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)   |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I suggest that you can use more keywords.</li> <li>2. Use numbered references rather than author-date.</li> <li>3. Lines 37 to 42, plagiarism issue <a href="https://www.interestjournals.org/articles/the-comparative-effects-of-chronic-consumption-of-kola-nut-cola-nitida-and-caffeine-diets-on-exploration-anxiety-and-fea.pdf">https://www.interestjournals.org/articles/the-comparative-effects-of-chronic-consumption-of-kola-nut-cola-nitida-and-caffeine-diets-on-exploration-anxiety-and-fea.pdf</a></li> <li>4. In introduction part, add details on the plant phytoconstituents.</li> <li>5. Fig. 1 key is not clear which of the two is test and which for control.</li> <li>6. In references part, abbreviate journal names.</li> </ol> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Keywords have been increased</li> <li>2. Done</li> <li>3. Statement has been recast</li> <li>4. Done phytochemical constituents added.</li> <li>5. Key made clearer</li> <li>6. Done</li> </ol> |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      |  |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |  |   |

**PART 2:**

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | Ethical approval was obtained   |