



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_46309</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Determination of Shelf Life of Picralima Nitida, Ciprofloxacin and Pefloxacin using Bio-Based Concentration-Activity Relationship Technique</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Abstract – Place and duration of the study is to be removed. It is not necessary.</li> <li>2. Introduction – There are 29 references in the Introduction part. It is too high and also large numbers of old references are quoted. It is to be reduced.</li> <li>3. In Results and Discussion – There are 28 figures. It is too high and if possible merge the figures/minimize the size (For example 1-3, 4-6, 7-11 etc) and put one legend for the figure and label properly.</li> <li>4. There is no discussion or comparison with previous studies which are related to the current results. How the present work is different from that and why it is essential is not discussed.</li> <li>5. Conclusion – Too long conclusion, need to concise it.</li> <li>6. Some references are not in uniform format (Reference 8, 16, 17 &amp; 19, there is no page number)</li> <li>7. Apart from this there are lots of technical, grammatical and spelling errors.</li> </ol>	1. Thank you. The corrections have been made. The figures have been reduced as stated. The conclusion has been reduced. The references have been corrected.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	