



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRMPS_47201
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME EFFECT OF CRUDE EXTRACT OF NEEM BARK ON THE PANCREAS OF STREPTOZOTOCIN INDUCED DIABETES OF ADULT WISTAR RATS
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Line 1- please rewrite topic like this; EFFECTS OF AQUEOUS CRUDE EXTRACT OF NEEM BARK ON THE PANCREAS OF STREPTOZOTOCIN INDUCED DIABETIC WISTAR RATS.</p> <p>Line 3- Please arrange your abstract under the following headings; Aim: Methodology: Results: Conclusion: Also explain the result briefly in your abstract eg significant at p<0.05 Line 36-48-no citation why? Line 51,63,77 and others-please cite according to journal guidelines. Line 78-85-no citations. Please remove all subheadings and incorporate your introductions together. Please reduce your work to publication standard,too bulky some of the information are not needed. This work needs proper organization. Line 306-remove lines from table,use either table or graph to represent your result. Line 573-please reference according to journal guidelines.</p>	<p>Correction made in the revised manuscript</p> <p>Corrected</p> <p>Formatted</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	