



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRIMPS_47203</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Role of Serum Alpha-amylase and Glycogen synthase in the Anti-diabetic Potential of Terminalia catappa leaf extract in Diabetic Wistar Rats.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Paper</b>

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Please rewrite topic like this;</b>  <b>The Role of Serum Alpha-amylase and Glycogen synthase in the Anti-diabetic Potential of Terminalia catappa aqueous leaf extract in alloxan induced Diabetic Wistar Rats.</b>  <b>Please cite your work serially eg [1],[2] etc.</b>  <b>Please state the plant voucher number.</b>  <b>Tabulate your experimental design for clarity.</b>  <b>Please review your citation again remove all <i>et al.</i></b>  <b>Please reference according to journal guideline. Eg</b> Adkins A, Basu R, Persson M, Dicke Z, Shah P, Vella A, Schwenk WF, Rizza R. Higher insulin concentrations are required to suppress gluconeogenesis than glycogenolysis in non diabetic humans. <i>Diabetes.2003; 52:2213–2220</i></p>	The comment is well accepted and the corrections have been effected in the manuscript as recommended
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>