



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_47203
Title of the Manuscript:	The Role of Serum Alpha-amylase and Glycogen synthase in the Anti-diabetic Potential of Terminalia catappa leaf extract in Diabetic Wistar Rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Page 1: ABSTRACT Materials and methods: Lines 6-9 – “This was followed” – Please state route of drug administration.</p> <p>Page 3: MATERIALS AND METHODS 2.3 Animals/Experimental design: Consider using -weighing between 150-200g were procured from ... were used for the study.</p> <p>2.5 – (page 4) Line 3 Should be “(OD) was”</p> <p>Page 8: DISCUSSION Lines 1 and 2: The statement - ”This study Diabetic rats” is not necessary here as it was already stated.</p> <p>Page 10: CONCLUSION Line 1: I suggest you delete the phrase “In conclusion” Lines 2 – 5: Sounds more like part of the discussion. Consider moving this there.</p>	The Authors have agreed with the reviewer's comments and the necessary corrections have been effected
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Quite a commendable article with good technical quality and clarity of presentation	Thank you.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	