



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_48579
Title of the Manuscript:	Pyridinium Crosslinks (Pyd) In the Urine is Associated With Stunting In Neonates
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Interesting manuscript.</p> <p>Material and Method</p> <p>1)How were recruited the babies ? Random ? All babies born in a specif period of time ?</p> <p>2) Why did the authors not also perform the creatinine urine dosage (which they mentioned in the introduction)?</p>	<p>1. All babies born in a specific period of time that their mothers were willing to sign an informed consent. Subjects of the study were 35 healthy neonates born at the Andini's Mothers and Children Hospital at Tuanku Tambusai street 55, Pekanbaru (middle class hospital and population strategic location in Pekanbaru) between the 28th of August 28th until 30th September 2014. Subjects were recruited at around 1-3 days of neonatal life.</p> <p>2. This was conducted in a separate research study/article. IS URINARY CREATININE ASSOCIATED WITH WASTING IN NEONATES</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	