SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Research in Zoology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJRIZ_46532**
Title of the Manuscript:	**NILEM CARP FISH (Osteochilus hasselti) PERFORMANCE IN VARIOUS FEED ENERGY-PROTEIN RATIOS**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**-Literature reviewed is rather insufficient. Principle information as protein requirement of tested specie or related one should be provided and discussed.** **-Experimental planning is in doubt. There were two factors tested in this study. I believe CRD is not appropriate statistical analysis, but factorial.** **-Feed intake should be discussed in feeding trial. This will provide whether or not palatability is a factor or not. Feeding at 5% with the assumption of all food was taken up by fish.**	All comment already added to manuscript. Thank you for your advice The experimental design use Compeletely Random Design (CRD), because only using one variable treatment : D/E Ratio
Minor REVISION comments	-Discussion should be related to important information of the experiment stated above. -Spelling error was found throughout the manuscript	Already revised
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	