

Editorial Comments:

The manuscript has many drawbacks even after consideration of the poor reviewers' comments.

*Many language & grammatical error. needs extensive editing. The whole manuscript should be proofread by a native English expert in research writing

*Abstract: needs rephrasing to be more concise & informative

*Methods: It is better to consult a statistician

-Many repetition of the same meaning & phrases

-Sample size calculation: what is the main risk factor used for sample size calculation?

-Elaborate in details on data analysis: both descriptive & analytic statistics as well as regression analysis

*Results: too long comments on the tables. this should be concise & present only the major findings

-Tables titles are wrong. All tables are comparative (not just frequencies)

*Discussion: needs to be more constructive & concise.

*References: many outdated references

Author feedback:

1. Grammatical correction and English editing has been performed.
2. Abstract is consice and informative
3. Methods section clearly presents the data collection process and analysis
4. Data analysis process is clearly written
5. This is a case control so comparative results are shown including frequencies
6. Table titles are corrected
7. Discussion contains balanced arguments
8. The manuscript needed some old research papers