



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_49352
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Efficacy of Stop the Bleed Education
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Author is expecting to highlight on Stop the bleeding campaign on the introduction part of manuscript. 2. Author should discuss on control measure and management of bleeding emergency within or out the hospital care. 3. Author should ascertain the family history of the participant. 	The authors appreciate the feedback. The <i>Stop the Bleed</i> campaign is highlighted in the Significance section of the introduction. The authors feel as though this article should focus more on efficacy of <i>Stop the Bleed</i> education rather than haemorrhage control measures. We would like to note that <i>Stop the Bleed</i> is primarily for out of hospital persons. The authors are examining efficacy of <i>Stop the Bleed</i> education among professionally trained and laypersons rather than <i>Stop the Bleed</i> itself; thus, ascertaining the family history is not considered here.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		The authors would like to thank the reviewer for his/her time and thorough evaluation of the article.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	