



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_49352
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing Efficacy of Stop the Bleed Education
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is a mix in use of future and past tense, text highlighted in red. The author should explain how pre training questionnaires were coded in relation to post training questionnaire. For one to assess gain in knowledge, the same respondent should be assessed pre and post for a comparison in a questionnaire for pre and post for that specific respondent. It is revealed in results but not explained in methodology	The text has been thoroughly modified according to the reviewer's suggestions
Minor REVISION comments	In discussion the author can compare results of the study with other studies done in the same topic	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	