



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_47450
Title of the Manuscript:	SOME MORPHOLOGICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES IN THE KIDNEY OF ADULT WISTAR RATS FOLLOWING ALUMINIUM CHLORIDE EXPOSURES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. AlCl₃ should be written in full at first then subsequently can be abbreviated. 2. Aluminium chloride is written as AlCl₃ and not Alcl₃ 3. Your abstract should be summarized in one paragraph 4. Keywords should be one word Introduction <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Make use of the referencing style accorded to the journal 2. This part needs readjustment. 3. Most of your references are old, make use of recent ones Methodology <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Too many paragraphs, needs readjustment and some grammatical corrections Results <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Do not use "in my experimental studies..." rephrase the statement. 2. You keep repeating same results 3. Histological examination should be readjusted and precise Discussion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This should be discussion based on your result and correlating it with previous works. Conclusion <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Remove the result aspect from conclusion 	Thank you for your comments. Corrections have been duly effected.
Minor REVISION comments	There are so many grammatical errors which needs correction	
Optional/General comments	This is a good research but a poor presentation. The author was so much in a hurry and did not take time to go through the work. Should be accepted only after all major corrections have been made. So many copy and paste that he even included "chapter four" in a statement.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Yes, ethical approval wasn't stated</p>	