



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Hepatology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRHE_46310
Title of the Manuscript:	POTENTIAL PROTECTIVE EFFECT OF ANACARDIUM OCCIDENTALE AGAINST PARACETAMOL-INDUCED HEPATOTOXICITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Topic</b> Suggested title: Protective potential of <i>Anacardium occidentale</i> leaves against paracetamol-induced hepatotoxicity</p> <p><b>Abstract:</b> Line 5: ..... anacardium occidentale leaves Line 10-11: the same dose (500 mg/kg) of the extract was repeated for animals in group D and E. Recast for clarity. Line 13: liver tissues were harvested for histopathological examination Line 14-15: ..... significant (p&lt;0.05) decrease in body weight of animals in the tested groups compared to the control ..... Line 17: ..... which was significant in the animals that .....</p> <p>Key words: Replace Anacardium occidentale with histology</p> <p><b>Materials and methods</b> The experimental design is faulty, No positive control group in the design i.e the hepatotoxic induced group treated with standard drug such as sylimarin.</p> <p><b>Results</b> Table 1 will be better presented as compound chart. Interpretation of the treatment groups should be under the table or chart.</p> <p><b>Discussion</b> Line 128: ..... there was a significant loss of body weight in group B, C, D and E animals compared with animals in group A. Line 131: ..... this is consistence with the findings of Jaouad, (reference number?)</p> <p><b>Reference</b> Some of the in-text citation were not listed in the reference such as Jaouad, 2004</p>	Authors sincerely appreciate the reviewer's comments and have made all corrections regarding the manuscript.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Materials and Methods</b> Line 60: ground not grounded Line 60: 250 mL not 250 mls</p> <p>2.2.1 Induction of hypertotoxicity Twenty healthy rats were induced with 1000 mg of paracetamol dissolved in 100 ml of distilled water to give a concentration of 10 mg/ml.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Author should detailed the identification or authentication of the plant with appropriate voucher number There are two different ethical approvals in the article, one under materials and methods as 2.1.3 and the other on line 151 after competing interests; author should reconcile. References should be according to the journal's format.</p> <p><b>The study presents scientific merit but there is poor attention to the preparation of the manuscript. The manuscript required total overhauling</b></p> <p>The article should be rejected base on the absence of the positive control group</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>There are two different ethical approvals in the article, one under materials and methods as 2.1.3 and the other on line 151 after competing interests; author should reconcile.</p>	Authors have reconciled the ethical approval

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>