



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_46028
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Foliar Application with Plant Aqueous Extracts on Growth, Yield and Chemical Constituents of Chamomile
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Bibliographic sources, in References chapter, are written very unevenly.</p> <p>The author's names are written in bold letters on some bibliographic sources, and are not bold letters (regular text) on other bibliographic sources.</p> <p>Some abbreviations have been used for some journals (e.g. J of Fac of Agric.), and for some journals the full name was used. Revision and correction is recommended, according to recommendations and information for authors.</p> <p>Some bibliographic sources note: 39 (12), pp.650–652, and other bibliographic sources note: 6: 133-134.</p> <p>Requires revision and uniformity of scoring for: volume and number of the journal, and article pages.</p>	corrections done as per reviewers comments.
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	