



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_48098
Title of the Manuscript:	Amendments of acidic soil with lime and manure for enhancing fertility, nutrient uptake and yield of wheat-mungbean-T. aman in Old Himalayan Piedmont Plain
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	While there are definitively some merits in this study, but lack of novelty. Where are the error bars on Figures? The quality of tables and Figures are really bad. We can't see significant differences in these figures without error bars. I suggest that the manuscript needs to be improved before consideration for publication. Your results and discussion part is look like only results sections. please support your results by some recent references.	Error bars added in all figures. All the figures worked out for higher resolution and added in manuscript. Because of number of variables of the study, extended tables are used so that readers can get the information fluently.  References are added in 3.7 section of the article where final outcomes of the article discussed.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The work is interesting but its grammatical and spelling mistakes should be check before further process. Comments are inside in the manuscript main word file. Please check and correct it.	Grammatical and spelling mistakes checked.  Comments resolved based on MS manuscript from reviewer and added in final manuscript to editorial office.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The general comments are inside in the manuscript main word file. Please check and correct it. I suggest adding an instant map of the study site (Anxi county Fujian province) to improve the Material and methods section.	General comments in manuscript corrected in final manuscript.  Piedmont area is a very well-known area on northern Bangladesh. Thus a map of study site is not included. But the GPS location is added in article.

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	