



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Plant Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_48065
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Assessment of Phytochemical Content and Antioxidant Potential of Azadirachta indica and Parquetina nigrescens Leaves
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The comparative study of the anti oxidant potential of the two selected plant materials <i>Azadirachta indica</i> and <i>Parquetina nigrescens</i> is well defined. The presence of different phytochemicals in the plant extract is responsible for the antioxidant activity. The antioxidant function of the plant extracts inhibiting the production of hydroxyl radicals that normalize cell homeostasis .Both the plants might have anticarcinogenic properties but <i>Azadirachta indica</i> might be more potent when compared with <i>Parquetina nigrescens</i> This pharmacological study is a useful tool for further drug development from the natural plant products.	
Minor REVISION comments	Authors are requested to include the procedure for quantitative phytochemical screening. Because title of the test only mentioned in both qualitative and quantitative screening analysis in the manuscript. So Include at least the procedure for quantitative screening.	Okay. That has been added.
Optional/General comments	The overall research work is informative one.	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) NO	