



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_35801
Title of the Manuscript:	Politics of School Mapping: Evaluation of Spatial Distribution of Public Secondary Schools in Rivers State, Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Lines 66/67: the statement need to be justified, maybe with some overview about the scenario in Nigeria (before Rivers State). The country itself have to be described taking into account aspects like school mapping and educational management. Lines 177/178: what is the relation between pedagogic factors and the establishment of a department of fisheries? Pages 18 to 25: the author (s) only write about the data showed in the tables, without present some analyses about the findings/results. In result, the "discussion" part (page 25) "stay away from the data". For instance: in the case of Nigeria, what kind of "relevant stakeholders" the author (s) can indicate? (Lines 451/452)	NOTED
Minor REVISION comments	Abstract: mostly in passive voice. Lines 75, 120, 122, 159, 164, 168 (bold), 260, 346 (question mark), 441, 485, 491, 512 need to be reviewed and revised.	
Optional/General comments		