



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_45648
Title of the Manuscript:	The Poster Artwork in the Art of the Palestinian artist Marwan Al-Allan
Type of the Article	Minireview Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>This paper tried to investigate the impact of an artistic analysis of the evolution of Palestinian poster art via the analysis of the artwork of the artist Marwan Al-Alan. It must have taken much effort to conduct the research but its contribution is not clear to readers. In specific, it should be improved in the following ways to be published.</p> <p>1. Major comments</p> <p>(1) Contribution This research is based on a descriptive discussion of the artwork of the Palestinian Artist Marwan Al-Allan. An interview conducted with the artist in October 2017. Readers may wonder identifying an environment of artwork in relative artwork. That is, please discuss more about the contribution of this research and explain how the research findings can be used to give values to culture or country.</p> <p>(2) Relevance This paper needs to update its literature review of current empirical works o in other countries and cases in the second section for better understanding its relevance with regard to local artwork.</p> <p>(3) Theoretical and managerial implications Please extend the discussions in this paper and link them to the contribution. In this paper, the theoretical and managerial implications are linked to the findings. Instead of linking to the empirical results, it is recommended to emphasize what the previous studies could not identify but this paper did.</p>	<p>Implication and contributions are added (lines 256-260)</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

--	--	--