



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46545
Title of the Manuscript:	Toward Addressing the Challenges Bedeviling the Strategies of Jama'atu Izalatil Bid'a Wa'iqamatis-Sunnah in the Democratization Process of Katsina State-Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Page 2:</b> CSO's (write meaning in full)</p> <p><b>Page 3:</b> third (Forth) The third should be the forth.</p> <p><b>Page 10.:</b> Braun and Clarke 2013). Or (2006) ??</p> <p><b>Reference 2006 while citing in the writeup is 2013 ?? The correct reference should read 2006.</b></p>	<p>CSOs were rewritten in full as suggested</p> <p>Resolved. Also resolved.</p> <p>The reference was also corrected.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) The comments and suggestions enhance the quality of the paper.
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	