



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_46545
Title of the Manuscript:	Toward Addressing the Challenges Bedeviling the Strategies of Jama'atu Izalatil Bid'a Wa'iqamatis-Sunnah in the Democratization Process of Katsina State-Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The article is very informative and enlightening. The author(s) must however try as much as possible to use relatively short paragraphs. The referencing style must be changed to suit the Journal's required style of referencing. In addition I would recommend the author(s) always be consistent in the referencing style s/he adopts in any write up. It will be advisable for the author(s) to justify or at least state the reason for choosing the period 1999 to 2017 for the study. 	<p>The lengthy paragraphs were reduced to a minimal level as suggested</p> <p>The reference style is now consistent with each other</p> <p>The reason for choosing 1999 to 2017 was justified</p>
Minor REVISION comments	Referencing style must be changed to suit the Journal's requirement.	I do not have the reference style of the journal yet, if it is necessary I may need to get one.
Optional/General comments	Very informative article.	Thank you for your good observations

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	The observations made enhanced the quality of the manuscript.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>