



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_47115
Title of the Manuscript:	DOMESTIC INVESTMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH NEXUS: A Re-visit for Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Include other causes of recession with reference with the view that fall in oil price is not the only cause of recession that started in late 2015 in Nigeria. There are existing literatures on the causes of recession in Nigeria. 2. In a normally distributed series, skewness is 0 and kurtosis is 3. Positive or negative skewness indicate asymmetry in the time series under study and kurtosis coefficient greater than or less than 3 suggest peakedness or flatness of the data respectively (Decarlo, 1997). Again the Jarque-Bera null hypothesis of normal distribution is rejected when the P-Value is < 0.1 or 0.05 or 0.01. Use this to interpret the result because the values of skewness and kurtosis indicate that the variable values are not normally distributed and they require transformation. 3. Adopt Numbered Style of Referencing 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Added 2. Re-interpreted. 3. We adopted this style
Optional/General comments	The research article is publishable	Thanks. Your comments were of vital importance. It showed you read through line by line. Thanks.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	



SDI Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>