



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_47413
Title of the Manuscript:	EFL Teachers' Use of Learners' L1 in Cycle Two Schools in Oman
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The article is well-organized but it need some revision in the grammatical mistakes - Please explain in this part what the researcher means by those concept or the definitions of those concept according to the researcher and distinguish it from code switching and mixing, or it could be explain further in the implication of the study - It is not necessary to mix the case study and grounded theory for this research. It is enough to use grounded for this study in coding both interview and observation data, if it is so, please explain what the researcher's rational reason for mixing, those reasons presented in this part were not sufficient for mixing so Please chose one of both approaches and be consistent in using the method - Correcting the references and see the guideline 	Thank you for your well articulated comments. We have taken al your valuable suggestions and implemented them.
Minor REVISION comments	-	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	