



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_45252
Title of the Manuscript:	CORPUS LUTEAL CYST RUPTURE – AN IMPORTANT DIFFERENTIAL FOR ECTOPIC PREGNANCY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1- Title should include " case report". 2- Your introduction without any references 3- Your case report is not rare condition.	1.I have changed the title from a simple case report to case report with review of literature 2.My introduction is the summary of my discussion in my own words 3. though it is not a rare condition case reports and protocol for management are limited
Minor REVISION comments	"Rupture is more common with right ovarian cyst than the left, probably due to the protection of left ovary from trauma by the cushioning of the recto-sigmoid colon" repeated twice in line 61-64	I have changed it
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	