



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_46135
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Vadasz Number on Magnetoconvection in a Darcy Porous Layer With Concentration Based Internal Heating
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>1) \vec{g} acts vertically downwards</p> <p>2) induced magnetic = magnetic induction?</p> <p>3) group the pieces in Figure 1a (this Figure must be named as Figure 1)</p> <p>4) Revise the text after Eq. (1)</p> <p>By taking into account the Lorentz force and acceleration coefficient, while in the governing equations viscous heating effect (this text is without sense)</p> <p>5) $Q_0 \frac{q}{(\omega_{sp})_r}$ is really thermal conductivity?</p> <p>6) The definition of the variables should be after the Equations</p> <p>7) cite some reference to the governing equations</p> <p>8) Under the boundary conditions (19), the integration of Equations (16)-(18) yield (This text was inside the frame of equations)</p> <p>9) Equations (26), (28) are of greater sizes than the others Equations</p> <p>10) Verify the alignment of the Equations numbers</p> <p>11) The length of Equation (40) is beyond the margin. I suggest the use of Mathtype for construct the equation</p> <p>12) Figures of Results must be named as Figures 2-9.</p> <p>13) Check if the conclusion in the Abstract is not in conflict with the general conclusion in Item 5 for the Vadasz number.</p> <p>Abstracat: The results show that, the presence of Vadasz number destabilizes the system, ...</p> <p>Discussion of Figure 8: The result shows increase in Vadasz number increases the thermal Rayleigh number which indicates that Vadasz number delays the onset of instability in the system.</p>	<p>1. The acceleration due to gravity usually acts downwards.</p> <p>2. This is corrected to induced magnetic field.</p> <p>3. Figure 1 is now properly named, with the pieces properly positioned</p> <p>4. Text revised.</p> <p>5. Corrected to heat source term, (see the expression)</p> <p>6. Done</p> <p>7. References cited</p> <p>8. Text taken out of the frame of equations</p> <p>9. The font size now equal to other equations.</p> <p>10. Equation numbers corrected accordingly</p> <p>11. Corrected</p> <p>12. Done.</p> <p>13. The abstract is corrected.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	