SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ARJOM_47277**
Title of the Manuscript:	**How the fractional-order improve and extend the well-known competitive exclusion principle in the chemostat model with n species competing for a single resource, for any set of increasing growth rates?**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	In this paper, a fractional-order mathematical model for n species competing, in a chemostat, for a single resource is proposed. The global dynamics was studied, for any set of increasing growth functions. The end of the examination of the paper, this is an interesting new result. I have read this paper and I found the topic is interesting and the proof is robust. It has positive development in the Fractional calculus. Hence I recommend this paper for publication after the following minor revisions: 1- Check carefully the end point and semicolons in the whole paper, for examples: - In page 106, line 7, put "." - In page 107, last line 7, put "." I recommend it for publication after revisions.	It's done!
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	