



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_47363
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Background Value Improvement of Fractional Order Accumulated FAGM(1,1) Model and Its Application
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. On page 2, line 2, the "GM (1). 1" may be GM(1,1). 2 on page 2, "2000-2006" should be "from 2000 to 2006" similarly for 2007-2011. 3 on page 4 "X-order cumulative" should be corrected 4 on page 5, line -2, "method" should be deleted.	Dear Reviewer, We would like to express our thankfulness for your much constructive and valuable advices. We have revised the paper following your comments and suggestions. The changes made in the revision appear in yellow, and the answers to your comments are listed as follows. <input type="checkbox"/> I am sorry for this imprecise behavior. Thank you for reading carefully and pointing out our shortcomings. According to your comments, we have changed the "GM (1). 1" to the "GM(1,1)" <input type="checkbox"/> Thank you for your comments, In accordance with your revised comments, we have revised the place where there are such problems in the text, and corrected its format to the normal format. <input type="checkbox"/> Thank you for your comments, we have changed the "X-order cumulative" to "r -order cumulative" according to your amendment. <input type="checkbox"/> I am sorry for such careless behavior. Thank you for your carefully read and detailed comments, Based on the changes you have given us, we have deleted the word "method". Finally, we have thoroughly revised the paper in order to present a more clear and concise manuscript. We hope these corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments. With Best Regards. Lang Yu & Co-authors.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	