



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_47363
Title of the Manuscript:	A New Background Value Improvement of Fractional Order Accumulated FAGM(1,1) Model and Its Application
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Also check the Grammatical errors in the paper and follow the Journal template carefully.	<p>Dear Reviewer, We would like to express our thankfulness for your much constructive and valuable advices. We have revised the paper following your comments and suggestions. The changes made in the revision appear in yellow, and the answers to your comments are listed as follows.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> We regret there were problems with the English. The paper has been carefully revised by a native English speaker to improve the grammar and readability. <input type="checkbox"/> Thank you for your carefully read and detailed comments , when we saw this amendment, we attached great importance to this opinion. For your comments, we have changed the format of the article in accordance with the requirements of the journal format. <p>Finally, we have thoroughly revised the paper in order to present a more clear and concise manuscript. We hope these corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments.</p> <p>With Best Regards.</p> <p>Lang Yu & Co-authors.</p>
Optional/General comments	<p>The most important part of the paper I found most interesting is the introductory part .</p> <p>If the author can incorporate the above comment. The paper is accepted for publication in the valuable Journal of yours.</p>	<p>Dear Reviewer, First of all, thank you very much for your affirmation of our work, we are very happy to get your comments, we have made relevant amendments to the article in accordance with your amendments, so that the manuscript is more clear.</p> <p>With Best Regards.</p> <p>Lang Yu & Co-authors.</p>

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	