



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_49320
Title of the Manuscript:	On Some Inequalities for the Chaudhry-Zubair Extension of the Gamma Function
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) English is very poor and the text is not understandable. 2) The conclusion part is the same as abstract. 3) References must be given for Lemmas in Preliminaries part. 4) Text should be revised in terms of punctuations and word errors, etc.	1) We disagree with this comment. 2) The Conclusion has been revised. 3) References have been added 4) We have read through the paper and made the necessary corrections.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	In my opinion, there are several important points, especially in associated with English, presentation, the lack of references, etc. Paper should be revised carefully all over the text and resubmit to the journal.	Indeed, detailed references have been provided in the paper. The only place where references were omitted is at the Preliminaries (where some well-known results are presented). We have addressed this issue by providing the references. We have read through the paper and made the necessary corrections.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	