**SDI Review Form 1.6**

| | |
|---|---|
| Journal Name: | **Asian Research Journal of Mathematics** |
| Manuscript Number: | **Ms_ARJOM_49643** |
| Title of the Manuscript: | **Proof of Collatz conjecture** |
| Type of the Article | **Original research** |

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Compulsory** REVISION comments | **i. the author/author's references should capture the journal "proof of the collatz conjecture, by Chris De Corte, march 3, 2016" http://researchgate.net>publication**<br><br>**ii. In section 2.0 to end, the author/author's should provide references (i.e. from page 101 end)**<br><br>**iii. The keyword should capture even, odd jumps, and integer.** | 1. Done.<br>2. Done. And read the remark added in the conclusion.<br>3. Done. |
| **Minor** REVISION comments | | |
| **Optional/General** comments | | |

**PART 2:**

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)* |
|---|---|---|
| **Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?** | *(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)* | No. |