



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_43593
Title of the Manuscript:	Oil yield and quality of Indian mustard (Brassica juncea L.) as influenced by organic manures and biofertilizers
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Author write the manuscript very nicely and their work has also a important role but some error was also found these are as:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Some references included in introduction, materials and methods as well in results and discussion but not found in references. 2. Second factor of treatments should be recheck. 3. References should be according to journal's format. 	Noted.
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Seed rate of mustard crop is given 25 kg/ha. It is so high. 2. viz. should be italic 3. Writing style of Sum error of mean 	Noted.
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>