



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44349
Title of the Manuscript:	β -Aminobutyric acid raises salt tolerance and reorganizes some physiological characters in <i>Calendula officinalis</i> L. plant
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Put the scientific name in all species; - What was the electrical conductivity of tap water used? - Put chemical analysis of salinity water and soil utilized; - Put in all text: dS m⁻¹ with space between dS and m-1 as well as m-2 s-1; - What the volume of water used for lixiviation of salts? - Use variables instead of parameters. Parameters is mean, variance, and others relationed to a population; - Standardize the references. - More informations are in version of review 	<p>Ok; the MS was corrected</p> <p>Ok; the MS was corrected</p> <p>OK, the was corrected</p> <p>I disagree with the reviewer because this term (dSm-1) without a spaces between dS and m-1</p> <p>Ok; the MS was corrected</p> <p>Ok; the MS was corrected</p> <p>Ok; the MS was corrected</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	