



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_44349
Title of the Manuscript:	β -Aminobutyric acid raises salt tolerance and reorganizes some physiological characters in <i>Calendula officinalis</i> L. plant
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Change the title 2. Justify the dose of BABA used in this study. Why only one conc. Of BABA was used? 3. The results are poorly written. It should be precise. There are several grammatical errors. Correct it. 4. Discuss about how the seed priming by BABA get translocated to leaves, inflorescence in <i>C. officinalis</i> and trigger salt tolerance in the plant. 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Ok. But i disagree with the reviewer; according to the university roles i can not change the MS title 2. Ok. I agree with editor. Concerning, why only one concentration of BABA was used? From the previous review in this concern, we used the optimum concentration only in this experiment 3. Ok, the MS was revision and correct 4. This point is clear in the discussion part <p>Thanks so much</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	