



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_45466
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of Staphylococcus aureus Small Colony Variant (SCV) Clinical Isolates from Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript is clear and informative. It describes the characterization of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Small Colony Variant (SCV) Clinical Isolates from Ahmadu Bello University Teaching Hospital, Zaria. However, there are some comments to point out for clarification: ➤ I hereby suggest that the topic can be re-modified into: "Characterization of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Small Colony Variant (SCV) Clinical Isolates in Zaria, Nigeria."	Authors very much appreciate the comments of the reviewers. We tried to improve the quality of the manuscript based on the thoughtful comments of the reviewers.
Minor REVISION comments	I specified a few minor corrections in the manuscript... References: Authors should write references as instructed by the journal	
Optional/General comments	NONE	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>