



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47293
Title of the Manuscript	Distribution and Ecology of Euglenoids in Certain Selected Lakes of Tumakuru District, Karnataka.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>In summary correct ammoniacal nitrogen</p> <p>in the introduction, mention the work done in this region by other researchers</p> <p>give a map to locate your sampling stations</p> <p>in the part material and methods, give the manuals with which you have determined euglenoids</p> <p>Fig 3 drawing scale</p> <p>In table 2, give the standard Error</p> <p>in all article, put the genre and the species in italics or underline</p>	<p>Correction was done.</p> <p>Other researchers work has referred and Mentioned.</p> <p>Tumakuru district Map showing the study lakes is given.</p> <p>Manual and research papers were referred, mentioned in mm and listed in reference list.</p> <p>Diagrams in Figure I (earlier Fig.3) are not to scale, however diagrams written using camera lucida techniques.</p> <p>2 years average values are given in table-2. Authors felt that standard error is not required, hence not worked out.</p> <p>Correction was done</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>