



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47410
Title of the Manuscript:	THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRUNK LEG RATIO AND PEAK EXPIRATORY FLOW RATE IN 200 LEVEL MBBS/BDS STUDENTS OF BAYERO UNIVERSITY KANO.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Various revision.	
Optional/General comments	Definitions of abbreviations were missing in the manuscript. Correct unnecessary uppercase words. There is a lack of continuity in the text to which reference 14 applies. (see the yellow highlight) Write the discussion better. Empathize statistical power, Complete reference.	Corrected and updated

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> In the manuscript I did not identify the Ethical Committee approbation.	There is ethical approval for the study. Find attached