



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_47598
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Different Essential Oils on the Shelf Life of Concentrated Yogurt
Type of the Article	Research Manuscript

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The application of methodology to the statistical analyses is well presented. However, the version needs very minor revision.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The % phenolic content in the oils used may be elaborated in line 138. Authors should include better and the current literature in the field. 	<p>The % phenolic content is not stated in the oils which we used in this study, we put this sentence according to reference 31 (Hüsnü K et al 2010).</p> <p>We used references in 2010 and 2013 and this is the current literature in this field according to our best knowledge.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.