



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_44826
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of different nitrogen sources on improve nutrients in soil, cowpea productivity and quality under newly saline soil conditions
Type of the Article	Review Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Please report results for relative percentage increase in all tables. Mean values for plant height in Table 2 should be inserted. The tables should be in scientific format. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	We made repairs
Minor REVISION comments	Minor corrections should be corrected as highlighted and indicated in the manuscript.	
Optional/General comments	Introduction and Methodology were Clear and understandable. Please report results for relative percentage increase in all tables. The tables should be in scientific format. Results must be discuss more and supported with recent citations. References and citations should follow journal guidelines.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>