SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_ASRJ_44853**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Soil Fertility as influenced by incorporation of K enriched azolla**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:
This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The title:** Soil fertility as influenced by incorporation of K enriched Azolla is ok for the manuscript. **Abstract:** The abstract should be written again, however, it should be concise and informative. It should therefore be written with below order of arrangement as stated in the Journal's author guide. **Introduction:** Well written, however, require minor changes with number numbering **Materials and Methods:** Corrections to be made, also there should be a supply of information on: 1. Study/Experimental Site: Basic information about the study/experimental site, meteorological and soil information and any photo to depict the setup of materials and methods well to aid in future repetition by others 2. Data Collection 3. Statistical Analysis **Results:** Corrections to be made especially with regards to units used in the manuscript. **Discussion:** Well discussed with few corrections to be made **Conclusion:** Conclusion part should be written as the conclusion in the abstract part **Referencing:** All references should be written according to the referencing style of ASRJ as outlined in the Authors Guide. However, some of the references cannot be CROSS check which has been highlighted in the manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	**AJOR REVISION AFTER WHICH MANUSCRIPT COULD BE CONSIDERED FOR PUBLICATION**	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	Corrections made as per the suggestion.

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.
Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20