



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJAST_34242
Title of the Manuscript:	A SEQUENTIAL THIRD ORDER ROTABLE DESIGN IN FOUR DIMENSIONS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESERCH

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The article expresses the Sequential third order Rotatable Design in Four Dimensions in the field of agriculture which gives a means of the people involved to study the better possible ways of using their agricultural needs like seed selection, chemicals for betterment of the soil. Well explained method.	Agreed.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. The authors have to revise the whole text for spacing between words, as some words are connected together.	Agreed



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 2. Introduction line 11, ...through application deficient elements(fertilizers) ...should read: ...through application of deficient elements (fertilizers) ... 3. Page 2 – line 20 - ...design based known classical ...should read as: ...design based known classicaldesign based known as classical ... 4. Page 2 – line 25 – There is need to give ..., should read: There is a need to give 5. Page 5, line 2 ... design model which give... 6. Should read: ... design model, which give... 	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		