



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Biotechnology Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_46235
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO PROPAGATION OF STRAWBERRY (Fragaria X AnanassaDUCH.) PLANTLETS THROUGH RUNNER TIPS EXPLANTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The ms contains some scientific information's, but cannot be accepted for publication unless authors address correctly the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Several limitations in the writing, in introduction, inoculation, data analysis, results and discussion. 2) In the introduction, authors did not well motivate the use of micro propagation in strawberry. 3) In data analysis, authors talked about callus induction, but nowhere else callus induction was used, nor its results. 4) Data analysis is not clear. 5) In the results and discussion section, authors wrote: "But when BAP concentration was decreased or increased, the shoot proliferation rate was decreased.", however no tentative explanation supported by the literature was provide, otherwise, it is not understandable to have the same results by decreasing or increasing cytokinins, i.e., BAP. 6) The discussion should show strengthens and/or limitations of their protocol. 	<p>I have patiently gone through the paper and tried to correct all mentioned comments. Hope this time it is fine.</p> <p>In the result section there is nothing to show differently about the increased or decreased rate of proliferation as it is already stated in the data clearly. I have added few explanation and tried to clear it more intensively</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) The first sentence of the introduction is too long should be rewritten. 2) There many repetitions in the first and second paragraphs of the introduction: for example: "It contains relatively high quantities of allagic acid, which has a wide range of biological activity", in the first paragraph, and, "It also contains significant levels of ellagic acid, which is thought to be an anti-carcinogenic (ICAR News, 2005)." In the second. 3) Another example: "Strawberry is one of the most fascinating fruits of the world, which is a rich source of vitamins and minerals and has fabulous and tantalizing aroma" and "The strawberry fruits are rich of vitamin C, B1, B2, protein, calcium, potassium, copper and iron, most of the nutritious elements essential for human being (Nehra et al., 1994)." 4) Check also alleagic acid and ellagic acid. 5) In the second paragraph, "aroma" comes several times; authors should synthesize the sentences and make the paragraph well written. 6) The third paragraph of the introduction is not well written, particularly the intervention of "Bangladesh due to lack of proper cultivars.....". 7) The paragraph six should be the third one after being written. 8) The last sentence of "results and discussion" section, "Well grown and rooted plantlets were taken out from culture tubes and washed thoroughly with tap water to remove the culture medium from the roots. Washed plantlets were planted to sterilized vermiculate soil in small pot and after acclimatization grown in a natural condition" must be removed to "materials and methods" section. 9) Check throughout the text grammar and spelling mistakes. 	<p>Patiently have corrected and rewritten few paragraphs.</p>
Optional/General comments		<p>Have corrected all the irregularities as commented from the reviewers</p>



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I have added and declared about the ethical issues.