



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Biotechnology Journal International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_BJI_46235
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO PROPAGATION OF STRAWBERRY (Fragaria X AnanassaDUCH.) PLANTLETS THROUGH RUNNER TIPS EXPLANTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only based on '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</li> <li>The following references were in the reference list but not in the text Boxus p, Quoirin M, Laine M J (1977)</li> <li>Number of treatments, experimental design and the reasons for replication were not stated in the materials and methods.</li> <li>Reasons for using 10 explants per treatment and repeated three times not discussed.</li> <li>Analysis was not clear.</li> <li>Sampling technique used to collect Juvenile runner tips from strawberry mature and healthy plants (Fig. 1A) were collected from the strawberry garden of Jessore University of Science and Technology, Jessore 7408, Bangladesh were not explained</li> <li>Stated that 'it can be concluded that many strawberry plantlets even an off season can be raised from an explant within a short period of time by using micro propagation technique following our established protocol'. What is the established protocol?</li> </ol>	I have gone through and tried to correct all the anomalies of the manuscript. Hope it is fine now.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Revisions has to be done as above comments</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	I have gone through and tried to correct all the anomalies of the manuscript. Hope it is fine now.