



**SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1**

**PART 1:**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">British Journal of Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_BJMMR_30879</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Knowledge, attitudes and practices regardin dengue fever in a cohort of nursing students</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 2:**

<b>FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)</b>	<b>Authors' response to final evaluator's comments</b>
<p><b>1- In the result:</b></p> <p>(a) Percentages were wrongly mentioned. Out of 80 participants, <b>72 (87.50%)</b> were aware about the dengue infection. Majority of respondents (70= 87.50%) were knew that dengue transmitted by a mosquito bite.</p> <p>(b) From the result of knowledge it could be derived that respondents had good/high knowledge. But during the analysis of scoring for knowledge, attitude and practice score they could be wrongly scored-----“ According to KAP scores, 12.5%, 97.5% and 25% of respondents had satisfactory knowledge attitudes and practices respectively.”</p> <p>(c) Table 6 and 7: How were the 2x2 table drawn for knowledge, attitude and practice score for chi square test?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Percentage corrected</li> <li>• Participants who scored 5 (70%) out of 7 in knowledge were assessed as satisfactory (only 10 participant obtain 5 sores or more)</li> <li>• Our scoring system considers participant who obtain 70% as satisfactory</li> <li>• More than 50% of participants obtain 3.5 to 4.90 (50 to 65%) score but they do not assessed as satisfactory</li> <li>• Table 6 shows Overall knowledge, attitudes and practices (frequency used only)</li> <li>• Table 7 shows association of knowledge with attitudes and practices (chi square test was used)</li> </ul>