



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_CA_47091 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Reasons of Non-guideline-concordant Treatment in Patients with Multivessel Coronary Artery Disease. REGRET-ONE TRIAL |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The language quality should be further improved before re-evaluation. 2. The data and should be re-checked to be accurate throughout the main text, just like in the part of ABSTRACT, "Results: Overall 140 patients (96 male, 68.6% and 111 NSTEMI-ACS, 79.3%) prospectively included in this study." 3. The use abbreviations should meet the Journal's guidelines. 4. The format of tables should meet the Journal's guidelines. 5. The part of DISCUSSION could be re-written to be more concise and concrete | Thank you for your great comments. The manuscript has been edited (proofreading) by a native speaker professional according to your recommendation. We checked our tables and abbreviations. We believe that the discussion part could be better but due to issue of the study this is the last version of discussion . |
| Minor REVISION comments | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |