



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_41982
Title of the Manuscript:	Menstrual Knowledge and Hygiene Management of Adolescent Girls
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Comments:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Numbers of participants selected for the present study are very less to reach any scientific conclusion. The manuscript needs lots of improvement in English and Grammar Introduction needs improvement. Add references wherever needed. Line no. 31 doesn't make any sense. It needs to be improved. "Lack of information given to adolescent girls about the menstruation knowledge and the hygienic practices to be followed, leads to adverse health outcomes like reproductive tract infection, UTIs etc." Line no. 38 doesn't make any sense. "The study was carried out in Hyderabad, mainly focusing the low socio income group economic status." In Line no. 47, the Table .1 heading needs improvement. "Knowledge levels for process of menstruation in Adolescent Girls" Line no. 53 doesn't make any sense. "Physiological process refers to the process that occurs naturally in the human body, this process is the vital function for the human body" In Line n. 60, the figure no. is not mentioned. "This figure indicates that menstrual knowledge is poor because no proper information channel is available for adequate and proper information" Line no. 63 needs to be improved grammatically. Use un-important instead of non-important. "There is gap in educational system where reproductive health is not taught because either considered non-important or unsocial." Mention Table no. in line no.66. Line No's. 71, 72 and 73 doesn't make any sense. "Menstrual cycle is the normal 28 days cycle of the girls who have attained menarche. This normal cycle indicates the normal and healthy life of the girls. This cycle is the normal cycle of the females" References added in the results and discussion sections are not in sequence. Also, add more references to make your discussion section stronger. Line no. 110 doesn't make any sense. "Varghese <i>et al</i> [5] pointed out the average level of menstrual hygiene practices was 75 % of proper menstrual hygiene." The figure no. and heading is not given. Also, the figure legends are not written properly. Do mention the figure no. in the manuscript as well. 	All corrections have been made according to the comments



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conclusion must be concise and needs English and Grammar improvement. <p>Follow one reference style for all the references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>
Are there competing interest issues in this manuscript?	