



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_41982</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Menstrual Knowledge and Hygiene Management of Adolescent Girls</b>
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>The study aim or objectives, methodology, findings, conclusion and recommendations must be clear in your abstract.</b></p> <p><b>Background/Introduction is not specific to your research area, its more on the general- what motivated the study. Maybe some research questions and polished objectives could assist you. You cannot measure practices for example, but you can make an assessment of evaluation.</b></p> <p><b>Re-visit your research methodologies, be clear on the research design, data capture tools and sampling procedures. It is not clear how you arrived on 30 that appears on the two tables and is never mentioned in your methodology section. Are you sure all the data tools targeted adolescent girls? Let it be clear from the onset.</b></p> <p><b>I note that you chose to focus on two variables (knowledge of menstrual processes and practices)- thus fine, but where is the study located, which country, district, village etc?</b></p> <p><b>The two tables and graph, raise serious challenges that you pointed out very well, but the implications of the findings (results) were thinly discussed.</b></p> <p><b>Check for more comments on the article.</b></p>	<p>The necessary corrections have been carried out. The abstract has been modified and attempt has been made to make it more clear</p> <p>Introduction has been made specific according to the objective of the study</p> <p>Research design and sampling procedures have been clearly mentioned</p> <p>The study location has been mentioned.</p> <p>The findings have been discussed.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Ensure you attend to your language issues (editing)	Editing in language has been carried.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Adolescent girls from low income groups or households, you needed to give a comprehensive background in your introduction. For example if your introduction you should have clearly described what motivated the study in which area and why that particular area.</p> <p>In the absence of background information- the sample 30 is rather low</p>	The sample size has increased to 60 ,means further administered to more 30 adolescent girls.