



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_43352
Title of the Manuscript:	PARTIAL REPLACEMENT OF ORDINARY PORTLAND CEMENT WITH SAW DUST ASH IN CONCRETE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This manuscript contains many grammatical errors and typos. Consequently, it is hard to read and understand. It should be written by a person with a complete knowledge in the proper use of the technical English language.	Grammatical mistakes rectified. Thank you for your remarks.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		